



**PETITION FOR ADOPTION, AMENDMENT, OR REPEAL  
OF A STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULE (RCW 34.05.330)**

The Office of Financial Management (OFM) has adopted this form for members of the public who wish to petition a state agency to adopt, amend, or repeal an administrative rule (regulation). Full consideration will be given to a petitioner's request.

To obtain this form in an alternate format, call OFM at (360) 902-0555 or TTY (360) 664-9437.

Please complete the following:

PETITIONER'S NAME (PLEASE PRINT) <b>CORY THOMPSON</b>		TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE) <b>206-351-1384</b>		
STREET ADDRESS <b>5117 OLIVE AVE SE</b>	PO BOX NUMBER	CITY <b>AUBURN</b>	STATE <b>WA</b>	ZIP CODE <b>98092</b>
AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR ADMINISTERING THE RULE, IF KNOWN <b>WSGC</b>		If unknown, call (360) 753-7470 for mailing information		

Please submit completed and signed form to the "Rules Coordinator" at the appropriate state agency. The agency will contact you within 60 days.

Check all that apply below and explain on the back of this form with examples. Whenever possible, attach suggested language. You may attach other pages, if needed.

☐ **1. NEW: I am requesting that a new WAC be developed**

I believe a new rule should be developed.

- ☐ The subject of this rule is:
- ☐ The rule will affect the following people:
- ☐ The need for the rule is

**RECEIVED**

**FEB 15 2006**

**GAMBLING COMMISSION  
COMM & LEGAL DEPT**

☒ **2. AMEND: I am requesting a change to existing WAC \_\_\_\_\_**

☒ **3. REPEAL: I am requesting existing WAC 230-40-610 (6) be removed.**

I believe this rule should be changed or repealed because (check one or more):

- ☒ It does not do what it was intended to do.
- ☒ It imposes unreasonable costs.
- ☐ It is applied differently to public and private parties.
- ☐ It is not clear.
- ☒ It is no longer needed.
- ☐ It is not authorized. The agency has no authority to make this rule.
- ☒ It conflicts with another federal, state, or local law or rule. Please list number of the conflicting law or rule, if known (**IS IT LEGAL TO CHARGE A REQUIRED FEE FOR SOMETHING THAT THE PAYOR IS INELIGIBLE FOR?**)
- ☐ It duplicates another federal, state, or local law or rule. Please list number of the duplicate law or rule, if known

- ☒ Other (please explain):  
**THIS FORCES THE EMPLOYEE THAT IS, AT TIMES, REQUIRED TO PAY ON THE CLOCK, TO PAY (NOW UP TO \$2 PER HAND!) FOR A JACKPOT THAT THEY ARE INELIGIBLE TO WIN. IT SEEMS UNREASONABLE (ILLEGAL?) TO CHARGE (OVER)**

PETITIONER'S SIGNATURE

**Cory Thompson**

DATE

**2-9-06**

A REQUIRED FEE TO A PERSON FOR SOMETHING THAT THE SAME PERSON CANNOT PARTICIPATE IN. IT WOULD BE THE SAME THING AS CHARGING A RESTAURANT GUEST A SERVICE CHARGE OR GRATUITY AND THEN MAKING THEM INELIGIBLE TO BE WAITED ON.

THE JACKPOT DOLLAR(S) SHOULD EITHER BE RETURNED TO THE INELIGIBLE PLAYER WHEN THEY WIN A POT OR THE PLAYER MUST BE DEEMED ELIGIBLE!

WOULD YOU CHARGE SOMEBODY A COVER CHARGE TO ENTER AN ESTABLISHMENT IF THEY WEREN'T ELIGIBLE TO ENTER?